#### DELAWARE PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS BOARD

The Townsend Building 401 Federal Street, Suite 2 Dover, Delaware 19901

# LICENSURE & CERTIFICATION CRITERIA SUB-COMMITTEE MEETING

### **MINUTES**

Collette Conference Center Conference Room A December 18, 2013 3:00 pm

**Members Present**: LCC-Barbara VanDornick, Angeline Rivello, Maria Degnats, Joanne Christian, Frank Livoy, Charlie Michels, Byron Murphy, Shana Young, Lisa Stoner, Tammy Croce. Others: Donna Lee Mitchell, Paula Fontello, Charles Sheppard, Innovative Schools.

**Members Absent**: **LCC**-Jill Cole, Chris Kenton Kate Scantlebury, MaryAnn Mieczkowski, Erin Pieshala, Christopher Ruszkowski, Stephanie Smith.

Subcommittee meeting was called to Order by Barbara VanDornick. Motion was made by Byron Murphy to approve the agenda, and seconded by Frank Livoy. Motion carried 10-0. Minutes from Dec. 2, 2013 meeting were approved by a vote of 10-0.

The Executive Director of PSB, Donna Mitchell provided an update on work the Board is working on at this time, upcoming assessment reviews and pending action items in the next few months.

The committees reviewed the Draft of Proposed Amendments to Regulation 1590-Professional Standards for Administrators. The amendment includes the addition of the Educational Technology Standards as adopted by ISTE. The committee discussed the idea of including these standards, as well as the Professional Development and Teaching Standards under one regulation. Further investigation of the ISTE NET Standards will be conducted by legal counsel to determine if there are any copyright issues. The committee did not express a strong desire to include all standards under one regulation, but rather suggested adding an additional regulation for the NET Standards.

The next discussion item for the committees was review and consideration of the application for Leader Preparation Program under Regulation 1595, by Innovative Schools. The Delaware Leadership Project (DLP) was reviewed at great length, going over each item on the rubric. The committee documented several special considerations that will be forwarded to the Professional Standards Board, as well as the applicant. Periodically, the committee asked Mr. Charles Sheppard, a member of the Innovative Schools Leadership team to respond to a question or add clarification to the process. The following recommendation was made to the PSB on behalf of the LCCC:

## **Application Type:**

School Principal Certification Program

### **Applicant**:

Innovative Schools: Delaware Leadership Project (DLP)

**Review for Completeness by PSB Designee:** 

Donna Mitchell, December 17, 2013

Application Submitted to Secretary of Education/License and Certification Criteria

**Committee**: December 18, 2013 **Review by LCCC**: December 18, 2013

Recommendations

**Recommend for Approval** 

2 Cohorts

**No Conditions** 

Special Considerations as follows for applicant review and possible program improvements:

Application	Special Considerations
Section	
2-LEA &	It was noted that the Principal Mentor identification and training could be
Program	strengthened by changing the timeline. Based on the documents submitted, the
Partnerships	timeline provides initial training of the Principal Mentor after the residency has
	begun. It appears that the program could be improved by having training or
	preparation for principals prior to the start of the residency placement. During public
	comment Mr. Sheppard from Innovative Schools noted that the timing was purposeful
	and part of the program design and replication.
6-Faculty,	It was noted that based on the evaluation information provided when the effectiveness
Instructors and	rating of the resident after completion of the novice leader period showed evidence
Mentors	that the rating was impacted by the mentor or coach, the program could be
	strengthened by adding support services to the resident during the 2 year coaching
	period
7-Organization,	It was noted that there could be some reduction in participation due to the change in in
Governance and	the LEA funding responsibility
Resources	
9-Program	It was noted that program evaluation analysis could be improved by modifying the
Assessment &	survey data (principal mentor, partner, resident, coaches) to identify each as separate
Evaluation	data point

After a motion by Chris Kenton and second by Byron Murphy the meeting was adjourned.